# Schedule of Full Equalities Impact Assessments (EIAs) for 2019/20 Budget Proposals

The schedule below sets out which budget proposals are deemed to require a full EIA to be produced. The associated EIA is then attached to this document for review. All other proposals have been assessed and deemed not to require a full EIA.

A lever arch file with assessments for all budget proposals will be available for review at the relevant scrutiny meetings. Given the volume of pages this would entail, only those assessed to require a full EIA are attached herewith.

Reference Number	EIA Description	Directorate	Saving 2019/20 £000	Review Date
3.20	Review of contracts	Adult Services	250	26 Sep
8.23	Collaborative Commissioning	Children's Services	200	26 Sep
6.13	PPL Fee review and additional income	City Management & Communities	900	4 Oct
7.19	Libraries further service transformations	City Management & Communities	200	4 Oct



# **EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT TEMPLATE**

# PLEASE ENSURE YOU READ THE GUIDANCE NOTES BEFORE COMPLETING THIS TEMPLATE

Completing an EIA is the simplest way to demonstrate that the Council has considered the equality impacts of its decisions and it reduces the risk of legal challenge. EIAs should be carried out at the earliest stages of policy development or a service review, and then updated as the policy or review develops. EIAs must be undertaken when it is possible for the findings to inform the final decision.

# **SECTION 1:**

Title	3.20 – Review of Contracts
<ul><li>What are you analysing?</li><li>What is the policy/project/activity/strategy looking to achieve?</li></ul>	The following analysis is based on savings proposals that form part of the broader "Adult Social Care Commissioning Programme".
<ul> <li>Who is it intended to benefit? Are any specific groups targeted by this decision?</li> </ul>	This proposals is associated with commissioned longer term care and support services and therefore were brought together into one overarching programme.
What results are intended?	The core aim of the programme is to deliver improved processes, better outcomes that maximise independence, more choice and personalised services.
	This supports Adult Social Care's statutory duties under the Care Act 2014, whereby ASC is required to meet eligible needs under the national eligibility criteria.
	The underpinning business case for this work is based on the aim that we can continue to improve the quality of life that customers with eligible needs have and make a moderate level of continued savings by taking this approach.
	The programme incorporates the following projects and work streams. The initial position on EIA considerations is set out for each, however this is a preliminary assessment and there is a need for further analysis to manage equality in provisions with the constraints of the budget pressures to minimise risks. Where applicable proposals will have a final Impact Assessment, with input from residents, service users, and carers, undertaken prior to mobilisation in order to eliminate the potential for unlawful discrimination.
	MTP Ref. Summary
	3.20 Review of Contracts

	Strategic review of contracts across Adult Social Care to identify opportunities as part of the recommissioning cycle to deliver savings, whilst ensuring that providers are delivering effective, efficient services that deliver good outcomes.
	The review will be finalised in March 2019 and will be making recommendations around streamlining of contracts to deliver efficiencies and opportunities over the next financial year where there maybe potential opportunities to merge contracts that will result in lower costs.
	This will form part of the BAU commissioning processes and governance structures.
Details of the lead person completing the	(i) Full Name: Chris Greenway
screening/EIA	(ii) Position: Director of Integrated Commissioning
	(iii) Unit: Adult Social Care
	(iii) Contact Details: cgreenway@westminster.gov.uk
Date sent to <u>Equalities@westminster.gov.uk</u>	
Version number and date of update	V04 16-SEP-18
You will need to update your EIA as you move	e through the decision-making process. Record the version

You will need to update your EIA as you move through the decision-making process. Record the version number here and the date you updated the EIA. Keep all versions so you have evidence that you have considered equality throughout the process. However <u>only</u> the most updated version will be saved in the Equalities SharePoint folder.

# SECTION 2: Do you need to complete a full Equality Impact Assessment (EIA)?

Not all proposals will require a full EIA, the assessment of impacts should be proportionate to the nature of the project/policy in question and its likely impact. To decide on the level of detail of the assessment required consider the potential impact on persons with protected characteristics.

# Please provide an overview of who uses/will use your service or facility and identify who are likely to be impacted by the proposal If you do not formally collect data about a particular group then use the results of local surveys or consultations, census data, national trends or anecdotal evidence (indicate where this is the case). Please attempt to complete all boxes. Consider whether there is a need to consult stakeholders and the public, including members of protected groups, in order to gather information on potential impacts of the proposal

These proposals may impact individuals who currently access Adult Social Care. Further analysis and monitoring will be required to understand the extent of the impact of each proposal as the project is scoped. A final EIA will be completed in March 2019 as part of the Project, based on any recommendations that may have impacts on individuals.

At this stage it is not known what this impact will be and what particular contracts this may relate to as this will be part of the recommendations. Monitoring will continue throughout the lifecycle of the project.

It should be noted that access to services is determined by national guidance under the Care Act 2014. None of these proposals will change who is eligible for services from Adult Social Care.

The following is based on all people who have an adult social care package at 31 March 2018

How many people use the service currently?										
What is this as a % of	(based on the 2016 JSNA estimated Westminster resident									
Westminster's	population of 241,783)									
population?										
Gender										
	Gender	Numbers	%	WCC Population	%					
	Female	2,794	55.16%	116,721	48.28%					
	Male	2,257	44.56%	125,062	51.72%					
	Unknown	14	0.28%							
	TOTAL	5,065	100%	241,783	100.00%					
Race	Social Care packages, where the number of men with a package is 44.56% vs a population of 51.72%.  As we do not currently collect data on gender identity/ reassignment on any of our social surveys, research and engagement (as part of additional EIA development and ongoing monitoring) will be undertaken to ensure there is no disproportionate impact on this protected characteristic.									
Race										
	Ethnicity		WCC	Percentage						
	White		2,838	56.03%						
	Asian / Asi / Chinese	an British	343	6.77%						
	Black / Afr Caribbean British	-	788	15.56%						

		Mixed / Multiple	138	2.72				
		Ethnic Groups	136	2.72				
		Other Ethnic Groups	667	13.17%				
		Refused/not	291	5.75%				
		recorded						
l		Total	5,065	100%				
			•		•			
		The percentage of BME'						
		31% with the BME population making up 38% of the overall population (based on the 2011 Census). However it should be						
				=				
		noted that there is 5.75% of people with a package who do not have Ethnicity recorded.						
	Disability	Support Reason						
	Disability	Support Reason						
		Primary Support reaso	n	W	/CC			
		Physical support		2	2,643			
		Sensory support			63			
		Learning Disability Sup	port		442			
		Mental Health Support			1,625			
		Support with Memory	'n	146				
		Social Support		124				
		Not recorded			22			
		Total		5,065				
		Adult Social Care has sta and is required to meet framework and criteria.	•					
	Sexual orientation	It is not possible to know	v how many	LGBT+ peop	ole live in the			
	Sexual orientation	It is not possible to know	•					
	Sexual orientation	City of Westminster or in exists on this issue. In th	ndeed in the le absence of	UK as no ba f this data, it	seline data t is generally			
	Sexual orientation	City of Westminster or in exists on this issue. In the estimated that between	ndeed in the le absence of five and ten	UK as no ba f this data, it percent of	nseline data t is generally the population			
	Sexual orientation	City of Westminster or in exists on this issue. In the estimated that between identify as lesbian, gay or	ndeed in the le absence of five and ten or bisexual. T	UK as no ba f this data, it percent of this would m	eseline data t is generally the population lean that there			
	Sexual orientation	City of Westminster or in exists on this issue. In the estimated that between identify as lesbian, gay owere between 9,064 and	ndeed in the ne absence of five and ten or bisexual. T d 18,128 LGE	UK as no ba f this data, it percent of this his would ma BT+ people li	eseline data t is generally the population lean that there iving in the City			
	Sexual orientation	City of Westminster or in exists on this issue. In the estimated that between identify as lesbian, gay or	ndeed in the ne absence of five and ten or bisexual. T d 18,128 LGE ears ago, bas	UK as no ba f this data, it percent of this his would ma BT+ people li	eseline data t is generally the population lean that there iving in the City			
		City of Westminster or in exists on this issue. In the estimated that between identify as lesbian, gay owere between 9,064 and of Westminster seven years.	ndeed in the ne absence of five and ten or bisexual. T d 18,128 LGE ears ago, bas	UK as no ba f this data, it percent of this his would ma BT+ people li	eseline data t is generally the population lean that there iving in the City			
	Sexual orientation  Age	City of Westminster or in exists on this issue. In the estimated that between identify as lesbian, gay of were between 9,064 and of Westminster seven years data from Galop.	ndeed in the ne absence of five and ten or bisexual. T d 18,128 LGE ears ago, bas	UK as no ba f this data, it percent of his would m BT+ people li sed on the m	eseline data t is generally the population lean that there living in the City lost recent			
		City of Westminster or in exists on this issue. In the estimated that between identify as lesbian, gay of were between 9,064 and of Westminster seven years data from Galop.  Age band	ndeed in the ne absence of five and ten or bisexual. T d 18,128 LGE ears ago, bas	UK as no ba f this data, it percent of his would m BT+ people li sed on the m	iseline data t is generally the population lean that there living in the City lost recent			
		City of Westminster or in exists on this issue. In the estimated that between identify as lesbian, gay of were between 9,064 and of Westminster seven years data from Galop.  Age band  Age 18-64	ndeed in the ne absence of five and ten or bisexual. T d 18,128 LGE ears ago, bas	UK as no ba f this data, it percent of his would m BT+ people li sed on the m	is seline data it is generally the population lean that there diving in the City host recent			
		City of Westminster or in exists on this issue. In the estimated that between identify as lesbian, gay of were between 9,064 and of Westminster seven years data from Galop.  Age band  Age 18-64  Age 65-74	ndeed in the ne absence of five and ten or bisexual. T d 18,128 LGE ears ago, bas	UK as no ba f this data, it percent of his would m BT+ people li sed on the m	t is generally the population tean that there tiving in the City thost recent			
		City of Westminster or in exists on this issue. In the estimated that between identify as lesbian, gay of were between 9,064 and of Westminster seven yes census data from Galop.  Age band  Age 18-64  Age 65-74  Age 75-84	ndeed in the ne absence of five and ten or bisexual. T d 18,128 LGE ears ago, bas	UK as no ba f this data, it percent of his would m BT+ people li sed on the m	seline data t is generally the population lean that there living in the City host recent   /CC 2,282 663 952			
		City of Westminster or in exists on this issue. In the estimated that between identify as lesbian, gay of were between 9,064 and of Westminster seven years data from Galop.  Age band  Age 18-64  Age 65-74	ndeed in the ne absence of five and ten or bisexual. T d 18,128 LGE ears ago, bas	UK as no ba f this data, it percent of his would m BT+ people li sed on the m	t is generally the population tean that there tiving in the City thost recent			

		There is a disproportionate number of older people with Adult Social Care packages of care compared with the general population. This can be attributed to older people having greater needs for care and support.							
	Religion or belief	Daliaian	WCC Total	Damanut	Caratan	0/			
		Religion	WCC Total	Percent	Greater London	%			
		Christian	97,300	40.9	4,057,000	47.0			
		Buddhist	2,600	1.1	99,100	1.0			
		Hindu	5,800	2.4	446,000	5.0			
		Jewish	4,700	2.0	178,300	2.0			
		Muslim	52,500	22.0	1,246,300	14.0			
		Sikh			127,400	2.0			
		Other religion	5,100	2.1	189,000	2.0			
		No religion	70,200	29.5	2,328,700	27.0			
		Total	238,100	100	8,671,700	100			
		The above numbers are 2016 estimates presented by the London Dataset, and indicate that in Westminster there is a lower percentage of individuals who list themselves as Christian, and a higher percentage of individuals who list themselves as Muslim as benchmarked against the rest of London.							
2.2 Are there any groups with protected characteristic that are overrepresented in the monitoring information relative to their size of the	There is a disproportion as compared with the g be attributed to older p national trends.  Further work is required groups with protected of Impact Assessments, and implementation phases	general population beople having grend to gauge the important characteristics, and updated/moni	n, which is con ater needs for apact of this wo nd will be inclu	sistent with care and su ork on Olde ded in proj	n national tren upport and alig r People, and ect-specific Eq	ids, and car gns with any other juality			

population? If so, this could indicate that the proposal may have a disproportionate impact on this group even if it is a universal service.

2.3 Are there any groups with protected characteristics that are underrepresented in the monitoring

Initial demographics for Westminster indicate that the percentage of BME's with an Adult Social Care package is 31% with the BME population making up 38% of the overall population (based on the 2011 Census). However it should be noted that there is 5.75% of people with a package who do not have Ethnicity recorded.

A detailed analysis will be performed when recommendations are made to fully understand what, if any, impacts this work will have on BMEs or any other group impacted by this work.

information	This analysis will be included in a project-specific EIA and will be monitored/updated								
relative to their	throughout the services' lifecycles.								
size of the									
population? If so,									
this could indicate									
that the service									
may not be									
accessible to all									
groups or there									
may be some forr	n								
of direct or									
indirect									
discrimination									
occurring.									
2.4	Does the project, policy or prop	osal have the	e potential to dis	proportionately	impact on				
	people with a protected charact	teristic? If so	, is the impact po	ositive or negativ	e?				
		None	Positive	Negative	Not sure				
	Men or women	$\boxtimes$							
	People of a particular race	$\overline{\boxtimes}$							
	or ethnicity (including	_							
	refugees, asylum seekers,								
	migrants and gypsies and								
	travellers)								
	Disabled <sup>1</sup> people (consider								
	different types of physical,			<del></del>					
	learning or mental								
	disabilities)								
	People of particular sexual	$\boxtimes$							
	orientation/s								
	People in particular age								
	groups (consider in								
	particular children, under								
	21s and over 65s)								
	People who are intending to	$\boxtimes$							
	undergo, are undergoing or								
	have undergone a process								
	or part of a process of								
	gender reassignment								
	Impact due to pregnancy/	$\boxtimes$							
	maternity								
	People of particular faiths	$\boxtimes$							
	and beliefs								
	People on low incomes								
	This is a preliminary assessment	, further anal	ysis will be requi	red when the rec	ommendations				
	are made from the reviews.								

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Disability discrimination is different from other types of discrimination since it includes the duty to make reasonable adjustments.

An updated EIA will be produced based on the recommendations of the review that will document any impacts and mitigation in further details.
Impact Assessment, with input from residents, service users, and carers will be undertaken prior to mobilisation of any recommendations in order to eliminate the potential for unlawful discrimination.
If any of the answers to the questions above is, "negative" or "unclear" you will need to undertake a detailed impact assessment.

2.5	Based on your responses, should a full, detailed EIA be carried out on the project, policy or proposal
	Yes No
2.6	Provide brief reasons on how have you come to this decision?
	<ul> <li>Adult Social Care has statutory duties under the Care Act 2014 and is required to meet eligible needs under the national framework and criteria.</li> <li>The proposals do not impact on individual's eligibility for services and are designed to improved processes, provide more choice and personalised services that provide better outcomes that maximise independence.</li> <li>The need to ensure that the actions that result from these proposals do not disproportionally impact users within any protected characteristics.</li> <li>Central to these proposals is working with the wider care and support market to ensure we maximise value for money while providing services that deliver better outcomes.</li> <li>As each of the projects preliminarily covered in this EIA progresses, EIAs will then be coproduced with residents, service users and carers.</li> </ul>

# **SECTION 3: ASSESSING THE IMPACT**

In order to be able to identify ways to mitigate any potential impact it is essential that we know what those potential impacts might be. Using the evidence gathered in section 2, explain what the potential impact of your proposal might be on the groups you have identified. You may wish to further supplement the evidence you have gathered using the table below in order to properly consider the impact.

Protected Group		Positive impact?			Negative impact? If	No specific	If the impact is negative how can it be mitigated? Please specify any	What , if any, are the cumulative effects of this decision when viewed in the
		Eliminate	Advance equality	Good relations	so, please specify the nature and extent of that impact	impact	mitigation measures and how and when they will be implemented	context of other Council decisions and their equality impacts
Gender	Men					✓		
Gender	Women					✓		
	White					✓		
	Mixed/Multiple ethnic groups					✓		
	Asian/Asian British					✓		
Race	Black/African/Caribbean/ Black British					✓		
	Gypsies / travellers					✓		
	Other ethnic group					✓		
	Physical				✓		Further work is required	
	Sensory				✓		to gauge the impact of this work on disability	
Disability	Learning Difficulties				✓		groups.	
	Learning Disabilities				<b>✓</b>		- An updated EIA will be produced based on the	
	Mental Health				<b>√</b>		recommendations of the review that will document	

Adult Social Care – Review of Contracts (3.20)

				(
			any impacts and mitigation	
			in further details.	

Protected Group		F	Positive imp	act?	Negative impact?	No specific impact	What will the impact be? If the impact is negative how can it be mitigated? (action)	What are the cumulative of effects
		Eliminate discrimination	Advance equality	Good relations				
Sexual Orientation	Lesbian, gay men, bisexual					<b>✓</b>		
Age	Older people (50+)				<b>√</b>		Further work is required to gauge the impact of this work on older people.  An updated EIA will be produced based on the recommendations of the review that will document any impacts and mitigation in further details	
	Younger people (16 - 25)					✓		
Gender Reass	ignment					✓		
Impact due to	Impact due to pregnancy/maternity					✓		
Groups with p	Groups with particular faiths and beliefs					<b>√</b>		
People on low	v incomes					✓		

### **SECTION 4: ACTION PLAN**

4.1 Complete the action plan if you need to reduce or remove the negative impacts you have identified, take steps to foster good relations or fill data gaps.

Please include the action required by your team/unit, groups affected, the intended outcome of your action, resources needed, a lead person responsible for undertaking the action (inc. their department and contact details), the completion date for the action, and the relevant RAG rating: R(ed) – action not initiated, A(mber) – action initiated and in progress, G(reen) – action complete.

NB. Add any additional rows, if required.

Action Required	Equality Groups Targeted	Intended outcome	Resources Needed	Name of Lead, Unit & Contact Details	Completion Date (DD/MM/YY)	RAG
Produce an updated EIA as part of the review project based on the recommendations.	All	A better understanding of potential impacts, which can then be managed to ensure that no protected group is unfairly discriminated against.	To be confirmed	Paul Rackham, Head of Commissioning	31-MAR-18	Amber
Enter additional rows if required						

THIS SECTION TO BE COMPLETED BY THE RELEVANT SERVICE MANAGER
SIGNATURE:
FULL NAME:
UNIT:
EMAIL & TELEPHONE EXT:
DATE (DD/MM/YYYY):

# WHAT NEXT?

It is the responsibility of the service to complete an EIA to the required standard and the quality and completeness of EIAs will be monitored by EMT.

All EIAs for proposed changes to levels of service arising from budget proposals must be completed by (insert date).

All completed EIAs should be sent to **Equalities@westminster.gov.uk** 



# **EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT TEMPLATE**

# PLEASE ENSURE YOU READ THE GUIDANCE NOTES BEFORE COMPLETING THIS TEMPLATE

Completing an EIA is the simplest way to demonstrate that the Council has considered the equality impacts of its decisions and it reduces the risk of legal challenge. EIAs should be carried out at the earliest stages of policy development or a service review, and then updated as the policy or review develops. EIAs must be undertaken when it is possible for the findings to inform the final decision.

# **SECTION 1:**

Title	8.23 – Collaborative Commissioning
<ul> <li>What are you analysing?</li> <li>What is the policy/project/activity/strategy looking to achieve?</li> <li>Who is it intended to benefit? Are any specific groups targeted by this decision?</li> <li>What results are intended?</li> </ul>	Collaborative Commissioning - This work is focused on two key areas. The first is the Emotional Wellbeing and Mental Health and CAMHS offer to schools, and is seeking to work with schools on the development of a whole school model of mental health and wellbeing support for children and young people. By collaborating with schools through a whole school, shared commissioning model the expectation is that value for money will be achieved and that provision will be made more accessible. In addition, adopting a strategic approach to shared commissioning and delivery will help to improve the consistency of the mental health and wellbeing offer available to children and young people. It is expected that school age children accessing tier 1 and 2 emotional wellbeing and mental health services will benefit from these changes.  The second area will look at addressing contractual inefficiencies from existing related contracts. This includes a block contract arrangements and the deployment of seconded posts into Council teams. This will not adversely impact on front line delivery and will be delivered through contractual efficiencies.  This EIA is draft and will be updated following consultation with schools in December 2018.
Details of the lead person completing the screening/EIA	(ii) Full Name: Annabel Saunders  (ii) Position: Assistant Director of Commissioning
	<ul><li>(iii) Unit: Children's Services</li><li>(iii) Contact Details: <a href="mailto:Annabel.Saunders@rbkc.gov.uk">Annabel.Saunders@rbkc.gov.uk</a></li></ul>

Date sent to Equalities@westminster.gov.uk	04/09/2018
Version number and date of update	3 – 02/09/2018

You will need to update your EIA as you move through the decision-making process. Record the version number here and the date you updated the EIA. Keep all versions so you have evidence that you have considered equality throughout the process. However <u>only</u> the most updated version will be saved in the Equalities SharePoint folder.

# SECTION 2: Do you need to complete a full Equality Impact Assessment (EIA)?

Not all proposals will require a full EIA, the assessment of impacts should be proportionate to the nature of the project/policy in question and its likely impact. To decide on the level of detail of the assessment required consider the potential impact on persons with protected characteristics.

2.1	<ul> <li>Please provide an overview of who uses/will use your service or faidentify who are likely to be impacted by the proposal</li> <li>If you do not formally collect data about a particular group then use results of local surveys or consultations, census data, national tree anecdotal evidence (indicate where this is the case). Please attemn complete all boxes.</li> <li>Consider whether there is a need to consult stakeholders and the including members of protected groups, in order to gather inform potential impacts of the proposal</li> </ul>						
	How many people use the service currently? What is this as a % of Westminster's population?  Gender	4,466 aged 0 to 18 years are estimated to have a mental health condition, this represent 19% of the overall population.  Of these c. 37% will require interventions at tiers 2 and 3 and 60% will be met via universal settings (such as primary care, school nursing, health visiting and schools).  ONS 2016  49% female, 51% male					
	Race	Based on 2011 Census total population, the main ethnic group in Westminster was White: British which make up 35% of the City population. This lower than the London proportion of 45%.  38% of Westminster residents are from non-white ethnic groups.  The majority of residents were born outside of the UK (53%).  The 3 main places outside of the UK where residents were born are:  • EU countries (14%) • Middle East (7%) • North America (4%)  Other than English (which is spoken by 69%of the Westminster population aged 3 and over), the other main languages are:  • Arabic • French • Spanish • Italian					

	Disability  Sexual orientation	Portuguese Across Westminster households, 64% have English as a main language used by all residents aged 16 plus.  22% have no people in the household who have English as a first language (Census, 2011).  No information gathered in the 2011 Census  No information gathered in the 2011 Census			
	Age	0 to 4 years inclusive       12,934       27%         5 to 10 years inclusive       16,467       35%         11 to 15 years inclusive       11,173       24%         16 to 18 inclusive       6,785       14%			
	Religion or belief	45% of residents specified their religion as Christian (Census, 2011)  Islam was the 2 <sup>nd</sup> highest religion recorded. 18% of Westminster residents specified their religion as Islam. (Census, 2011)  20% of residents have no religion specified.			
2.2 Are there any groups with protected characteristic that are overrepresented in the monitoring information relative to their size of the population? If so, this could indicate that the proposal may have a disproportionate impact on this group even if it is a universal service.	As this proposal is aimed at services in Children's Services, it will over-represent children and young people aged 0-25.  A CAMHS need may represent a disability, this group will be over-represented.				
2.3 Are there any groups with protected characteristics that are underrepresented in the monitoring information relative to their size of the population? If so, this could indicate that the service may not be accessible to all groups or there may be some form	If yes, provide details.  As this proposal is aimed a people aged 26 and over.	t services in Children's Services, it will under-represent			

	rect or indirect imination occurring.					
2.4	Does the project, policy or proposa protected characteristic? If so, is the			ortionately impact	on people with a	
					1	
	Manarwanan	None	Positive	Negative	Not sure	
	Men or women  People of a particular race or	$oxed{\boxtimes}$				
	ethnicity (including refugees, asylum seekers, migrants and gypsies and travellers)					
	Disabled <sup>2</sup> people (consider different types of physical, learning or mental disabilities)					
	People of particular sexual orientation/s					
	People in particular age groups (consider in particular children, under 21s and over 65s)					
	People who are intending to undergo, are undergoing or have undergone a process or part of a process of gender reassignment					
	Impact due to pregnancy/ maternity	$\boxtimes$				
	People of particular faiths and beliefs					
	People on low incomes	$\boxtimes$				
	If any of the answers to the questions above is, "negative" or "unclear" you will need to undertake a detailed impact assessment.					
2.5	Based on your responses, should a full, detailed EIA be carried out on the project, policy or proposal					
	Yes No					
2.6	Provide brief reasons on how have	ve you come to	o this decision?			

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  Disability discrimination is different from other types of discrimination since it includes the duty to make reasonable adjustments.

The proposals are subject to further engagement and consultation. Once these have been concluded in December 2018 there will be greater clarity about the potential impact or benefit from the related transformation work with schools. Prior to this there is some uncertainty around the impacts that it may have on the people with the protected characteristics which is marked as "unclear". Following consultation and further development of the corresponding action plans this will either be re-assessed or a full EIA will be carried out.

# **SECTION 3: ASSESSING THE IMPACT**

In order to be able to identify ways to mitigate any potential impact it is essential that we know what those potential impacts might be. Using the evidence gathered in section 2, explain what the potential impact of your proposal might be on the groups you have identified. You may wish to further supplement the evidence you have gathered using the table below in order to properly consider the impact.

	Protected Group		Positive im	pact?	Negative impact? If	No specific	If the impact is negative how can it be mitigated?	What , if any, are the cumulative effects of this decision when viewed in
Protected			Advance equality	Good relations	so, please specify the nature and extent of that impact	impact	Please specify any mitigation measures and how and when they will be implemented	the context of other Council decisions and their equality impacts
Gender	Men					Х		
Gender	Women					х		
	White					Х		
	Mixed/Multiple ethnic groups					Х		
	Asian/Asian British					Х		
Race	Black/African/Caribbean/ Black British					Х		
	Gypsies / travellers					Х		
	Other ethnic group					Х		
	Physical					Х		
	Sensory					Х		
Disability	Learning Difficulties					Х		
	Learning Disabilities					Х		
	Mental Health	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD		The proposal will address early intervention in the	

Children's Services – Collaborative Commissioning (8.23)

			MH and wellbeing offer to	
			children and young people	

Children's Services – Collaborative Commissioning (8.23)

			Positive impact?			No specific	What will the impact be? If	What are the cumulative of effects	
Protected Gr	oup	Eliminate discrimination	Advance equality	Good relations	Negative impact   impact   the impact is negative how can it be mitigated? (action)		can it be mitigated? (action)		
Sexual Orientation	Lesbian, gay men, bisexual					Х			
	Older people (50+)					Х			
Age	Younger people (16 - 25)	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	Improved services and more effective referrals through better partnership working and reducing demand for statutory interventions.		
Gender Reass	ignment					Х			
Impact due to pregnancy/maternity						Х			
Groups with particular faiths and beliefs						Х			
People on low	v incomes					Х			

### **SECTION 4: ACTION PLAN**

4.1 Complete the action plan if you need to reduce or remove the negative impacts you have identified, take steps to foster good relations or fill data gaps.

Please include the action required by your team/unit, groups affected, the intended outcome of your action, resources needed, a lead person responsible for undertaking the action (inc. their department and contact details), the completion date for the action, and the relevant RAG rating: R(ed) – action not initiated, A(mber) – action initiated and in progress, G(reen) – action complete.

NB. Add any additional rows, if required.

Action Required	Equality Groups Targeted	Intended outcome	Resources Needed	Name of Lead, Unit & Contact Details	Completion Date (DD/MM/YY)	RAG
TBD						
TBD						
Enter additional rows if required						

# THIS SECTION TO BE COMPLETED BY THE RELEVANT SERVICE MANAGER

SIGNATURE: .....

**FULL NAME**: Annabel Saunders

**UNIT**: Children's Services

EMAIL & TELEPHONE EXT: Annabel.Saunders@rbkc.gov.uk

**DATE (DD/MM/YYYY)**: 16/07/2018

### WHAT NEXT?

It is the responsibility of the service to complete an EIA to the required standard and the quality and completeness of EIAs will be monitored by EMT.

All EIAs for proposed changes to levels of service arising from budget proposals must be completed by (insert date).

All completed EIAs should be sent to **Equalities@westminster.gov.uk** 



# **EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT TEMPLATE**

# PLEASE ENSURE YOU READ THE GUIDANCE NOTES BEFORE COMPLETING THIS TEMPLATE

Completing an EIA is the simplest way to demonstrate that the Council has considered the equality impacts of its decisions and it reduces the risk of legal challenge. EIAs should be carried out at the earliest stages of policy development or a service review, and then updated as the policy or review develops. EIAs must be undertaken when it is possible for the findings to inform the final decision.

# **SECTION 1:**

Title	6.13 – PPL Fee Review Additional Income
<ul> <li>What is the policy/project/activity/strategy looking to achieve?</li> <li>Who is it intended to benefit? Are any specific groups targeted by this decision?</li> <li>What results are intended?</li> </ul>	The Licensing Committee dated 4 <sup>th</sup> July 2018 approved the process to undertake statutory consultation on a revised set of fees and charges for street trading on a pitch in Westminster's markets. The proposed fees and charges will enable the Council to introduce a charging structure to recover costs associated with licensed street trading as set out in section 22 of the City of Westminster Act 1999 (The Act). The last full fee review was undertaken in 2006.  An extensive consultation amongst street traders and other stakeholders, aligned to a wider market strategy consultation, commenced on 6 <sup>th</sup> August and will continue until the 29 <sup>th</sup> October.  The consultation includes a number of options for cost recovery. Recommendations will be brought to the next Full Licensing Committee on 28 <sup>th</sup> November. Cost recovery will mean that the council does not operate at a deficit across this function.
Details of the lead person completing the screening/EIA	(iii) Full Name: Annette Acik (ii) Position: Head of Licensing
	(iii) Unit: Public Protection and Licensing
	(iii) Contact Details: aacik@westminster.gov.uk

Date sent to <pre>Equalities@westminster.gov.uk</pre>	
Version number and date of update	Version 2 date 10.08.18

You will need to update your EIA as you move through the decision-making process. Record the version number here and the date you updated the EIA. Keep all versions so you have evidence that you have considered equality throughout the process. However <u>only</u> the most updated version will be saved in the Equalities SharePoint folder.

# SECTION 2: Do you need to complete a full Equality Impact Assessment (EIA)?

Not all proposals will require a full EIA, the assessment of impacts should be proportionate to the nature of the project/policy in question and its likely impact. To decide on the level of detail of the assessment required consider the potential impact on persons with protected characteristics.

2.1	<ul> <li>identify who are likely to be impact</li> <li>If you do not formally collect results of local surveys or contained an anecdotal evidence (indicate complete all boxes.</li> <li>Consider whether there is a</li> </ul>	t data about a particular group then use the insultations, census data, national trends or where this is the case). Please attempt to need to consult stakeholders and the public, cted groups, in order to gather information on
	How many people use the service currently? What is this as a % of Westminster's population?  Gender  Race  Disability  Sexual orientation  Age  Religion or belief	We do not collect data on who uses the service.
2.2 Are there any groups with protected characteristic that are overrepresented in the monitoring information relative to their size of the population? If so, this could indicate that the proposal may have a disproportionate impact on this group even if it is a universal service.	If yes, provide details. Unknown	

	If yes, provid	de details.			
h protected racteristics that are	Unknown				
derrepresented in the	O mano w m				
nitoring information					
ative to their size of					
population? If so, this					
ıld indicate that the					
vice may not be					
ressible to all groups or					
re may be some form					
direct or indirect					
crimination occurring.		l la a			
Does the project, police protected characterist				ortionately impact	on people with
protected characterist	ice ii so, is tii	e impact posi	live or negative:		
		None	Positive	Negative	Not sure
Men or women					
People of a particular	race or	$\square$			
ethnicity (including re	efugees,				
asylum seekers, migr					
gypsies and travellers					
Disabled <sup>3</sup> people (cor		$\boxtimes$			
different types of phy					
learning or mental di					
People of particular s	exual	$\boxtimes$			
orientation/s	ao arounc	$\square$			
People in particular a (consider in particula					
under 21s and over 6					
People who are inter		$\square$			
undergo, are undergo	_				
have undergone a pr	_				
part of a process of a					
reassignment					
Impact due to pregna	incy/	$\boxtimes$			
maternity		<u> </u>			
. I I Daniela af anatha la c	aiths and	$\boxtimes$			
People of particular f					
beliefs  People on low incom					

 $<sup>^{3}</sup>$  Disability discrimination is different from other types of discrimination since it includes the duty to make reasonable adjustments.

2.5	Based on your responses, should a full, detailed EIA be carried out on the project, policy or proposal
	Yes No 🗌
2.6	Provide brief reasons on how have you come to this decision?
	The proposals set out a number of options for market fees and charges, based on principles of fairness, cost recovery, support for local enterprise and sustainability and transparency. The options also include consideration for start-up businesses, individual concessions based on affordability, a tapered approach over two years, and concessions for WCC residents.  These options form part of the consultation process, which encourages traders and stakeholders to comment on their most preferred two options and give comment on other discretionary services that they would like to see provided. Consultation is being undertaken through on line survey, workshops, focus groups, drop in sessions and walkabouts. Responses received by the completion of the consultation on 29 <sup>th</sup> October will be considered and outcomes/ proposals recommended to Full Licensing Committee in November. A further EIA will be required once the consultation has been completed.

# **SECTION 3: ASSESSING THE IMPACT**

In order to be able to identify ways to mitigate any potential impact it is essential that we know what those potential impacts might be. Using the evidence gathered in section 2, explain what the potential impact of your proposal might be on the groups you have identified. You may wish to further supplement the evidence you have gathered using the table below in order to properly consider the impact.

Protected Group		Positive impact?		Negative impact? If	No specific	If the impact is negative how can it be mitigated?	What , if any, are the cumulative effects of this decision when viewed in	
		Eliminate	Advance equality	Good relations	so, please specify the nature and extent of that impact	impact	Please specify any mitigation measures and how and when they will be implemented	the context of other Council decisions and their equality impacts
Condon	Men					х		
Gender	Women					х		
	White					х		
	Mixed/Multiple ethnic groups					х		
	Asian/Asian British					х		
Race	Black/African/Caribbean/ Black British					х		
	Gypsies / travellers					х		
	Other ethnic group					х		
	Physical					х		
	Sensory					х		
Disability	Learning Difficulties					х		
	Learning Disabilities					х		
	Mental Health					х		

CMC – PPL Fee Review and Additional Income (6.13)

		Positive impact?				No specific	What will the impact be? If the impact is negative how	What are the cumulative of effects	
Protected Group		Eliminate discrimination	Advance equality	Good relations	Negative impact?	impact	can it be mitigated? (action)		
Sexual Orientation	Lesbian, gay men, bisexual					х			
Ago	Older people (50+)					Х			
Age	Younger people (16 - 25)					Х			
Gender Reass	ignment					х			
Impact due to	pregnancy/maternity					х			
Groups with p	particular faiths and beliefs					х			
People on low incomes					х		Mitigated via concessionary approaches but dependant on the outcome of consultation with those impacted and stakeholders, when further actions required will be considered.		

# **SECTION 4: ACTION PLAN**

4.1 Complete the action plan if you need to reduce or remove the negative impacts you have identified, take steps to foster good relations or fill data gaps.

Please include the action required by your team/unit, groups affected, the intended outcome of your action, resources needed, a lead person responsible for undertaking the action (inc. their department and contact details), the completion date for the action, and the relevant RAG rating: R(ed) – action not initiated, A(mber) – action initiated and in progress, G(reen) – action complete.

NB. Add any additional rows, if required.

Action Required	Equality Groups Targeted	Intended outcome	Resources Needed	Name of Lead, Unit & Contact Details	Completion Date (DD/MM/YY)	RAG
Enter additional rows if required						

THIS SECTION TO BE COMPLETED BY THE RELEVANT SERVICE MANAGER
SIGNATURE:
FULL NAME:
UNIT:
EMAIL & TELEPHONE EXT:
DATE (DD/MM/YYYY):

# WHAT NEXT?

It is the responsibility of the service to complete an EIA to the required standard and the quality and completeness of EIAs will be monitored by EMT.

All EIAs for proposed changes to levels of service arising from budget proposals must be completed by (insert date).

All completed EIAs should be sent to **Equalities@westminster.gov.uk** 



# **EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT TEMPLATE**

# PLEASE ENSURE YOU READ THE GUIDANCE NOTES BEFORE COMPLETING THIS TEMPLATE

Completing an EIA is the simplest way to demonstrate that the Council has considered the equality impacts of its decisions and it reduces the risk of legal challenge. EIAs should be carried out at the earliest stages of policy development or a service review, and then updated as the policy or review develops. EIAs must be undertaken when it is possible for the findings to inform the final decision.

# **SECTION 1:**

Title	7.19 – Libraries further service transformations
<ul> <li>What is the policy/project/activity/strategy looking to achieve?</li> <li>Who is it intended to benefit? Are any specific groups targeted by this decision?</li> <li>What results are intended?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Introduction of Smart Open at 3-5 sites and streamlining of self-service offer, with reduction of employee costs         The initiative will potentially benefit all current and future users by:         <ol> <li>Improve our self-service offer by providing an increase opportunity for use of our library service at alternative times.</li> <li>Improve performance of self-service technology, reliability and the customer experience.</li> <li>Increased potential for extending library opening hours</li></ol></li></ul>
Details of the lead person completing the screening/EIA	(iv) Full Name: Mike Clarke  (ii) Position: Director, Libraries & Registrars Service
	(iii) Unit: City Management (iii) Contact Details: 020 7641 2199

Date sent to Equalities@westminster.gov.uk	
Version number and date of update	
	e through the decision-making process. Record the version

You will need to update your EIA as you move through the decision-making process. Record the version number here and the date you updated the EIA. Keep all versions so you have evidence that you have considered equality throughout the process. However <u>only</u> the most updated version will be saved in the Equalities SharePoint folder.

# SECTION 2: Do you need to complete a full Equality Impact Assessment (EIA)?

Not all proposals will require a full EIA, the assessment of impacts should be proportionate to the nature of the project/policy in question and its likely impact. To decide on the level of detail of the assessment required consider the potential impact on persons with protected characteristics.

2.1	<ul> <li>Please provide an overview of who uses/will use your service or facility and identify who are likely to be impacted by the proposal</li> <li>If you do not formally collect data about a particular group then use the results of local surveys or consultations, census data, national trends or anecdotal evidence (indicate where this is the case). Please attempt to complete all boxes.</li> <li>Consider whether there is a need to consult stakeholders and the public, including members of protected groups, in order to gather information on potential impacts of the proposal</li> </ul>					
	How many people use the service currently? What is this as a % of Westminster's population?	WCC Visits 2017/18: 1973062 WCC Active Members: 57990 WCC population: 226841 (Based of year estimates*)  The visits are the number of customer through the door. It includes residents residents. The active members are, members whether library card at least once within Female: 24375 Male: 19256	rs that come s and non- no have used			
	Race	No Reply: 2241  Ethnicity	No of Members			
		Arab Moroccan Arab/Arab British Asian: Bangladeshi Asian: Indian Asian: Other Asian Asian: Pakistani Black: African Black: Caribbean Black: Other Black Chinese Filipino Mixed: Asian African Indian Mixed: White and BC Mixed: Other mixed	14 1121 622 638 963 222 1489 499 247 1922 20 9 132 249			
		Mixed :White and Asian Mixed :White and BA	220 208			

	No roply			23741
	No reply Other Ethr	uic Group		835
	Other Whi			1864
	Somalian	ic .		18
	Undefined			13667
	Unknown			35
		4 Evansan		
		st European		1016
	White: Eng		a <b>h</b>	5219
	Traveller	psy, Romany, Iri	SII	30
	White: Oth	per British		849
				43
		ner European		1674
		estern European		-
	White: Bri	usn		424
Disability	Considers			
<b>,</b>	to have a	No of Members		
	disability			
	Yes DIS	801		
	No DIS	51349		
	No Reply	5840		
Sexual orientation				
	Data not col	llected		
A				
Age				
	Age	No of		
	Group	Members		
	0 to 4	1421		
	10 to 14	4368		
	15 to 19	2517		
	20 to 59	24967		
	5 to 9	3786		
	60 to 64	1539		
	65 to 79	3034		
	80+	579		
	No	317		
	Reply	15779		
Religion or belief	P-J	10/1/		
	l			
	Data not co	llected		

with char over mon relations the properties on the control on the control on the characteristics.	Are there any groups a protected racteristic that are represented in the sitoring information tive to their size of population? If so, this id indicate that the rosal may have a reportionate impact this group even if it is a process of the same areas of sarvice.	If yes, provi	ide details.				
with protected characteristics that are underrepresented in the monitoring information relative to their size of the population? If so, this could indicate that the service may not be accessible to all groups or there may be some form of direct or indirect discrimination occurring.			ide details.				
<b>2.4</b>	Does the project, police				ortionately impact	on people with a	
					ortionately impact	on people with a	
	Does the project, police				ortionately impact  Negative	on people with a	
	Does the project, police		he impact posi	tive or negative?			
	Does the project, police protected characterist	tic? If so, is t	he impact posi	tive or negative?			
	Men or women People of a particular ethnicity (including re	r race or efugees,	he impact posi	tive or negative?			
	Men or women People of a particula ethnicity (including reasylum seekers, migr	r race or efugees,	he impact posi	tive or negative?			
	Men or women People of a particular ethnicity (including roasylum seekers, migr gypsies and travellers)	r race or efugees, eants and	he impact posi	tive or negative?	Negative		
	Men or women People of a particular ethnicity (including reasylum seekers, migr gypsies and travellers) Disabled <sup>4</sup> people (con	r race or efugees, eants and s)	he impact posi	tive or negative?			
	Men or women People of a particular ethnicity (including reasylum seekers, migr gypsies and travellers) Disabled <sup>4</sup> people (condifferent types of physics)	r race or efugees, rants and s)	he impact posi	tive or negative?	Negative		
	Men or women People of a particular ethnicity (including reasylum seekers, migr gypsies and travellers Disabled people (condifferent types of phylearning or mental dispressions)	r race or efugees, rants and s) nsider ysical, sabilities)	None	tive or negative?	Negative		
	Men or women People of a particular ethnicity (including reasylum seekers, migr gypsies and travellers Disabled <sup>4</sup> people (condifferent types of phylearning or mental dispeople of particulars)	r race or efugees, rants and s) nsider ysical, sabilities)	he impact posi	tive or negative?	Negative		
	Men or women People of a particular ethnicity (including reasylum seekers, migr gypsies and travellers. Disabled <sup>4</sup> people (condifferent types of phylearning or mental di People of particular sorientation/s	r race or efugees, eants and es) nsider ysical, sabilities)	None	tive or negative?	Negative		
	Men or women People of a particular ethnicity (including reasylum seekers, migr gypsies and travellers) Disabled people (condifferent types of phylearning or mental di People of particular sorientation/s People in particular and incomplements of particul	r race or efugees, rants and ss) essider ysical, sabilities) sexual	None	tive or negative?	Negative		
	Men or women People of a particular ethnicity (including reasylum seekers, migr gypsies and travellers Disabled <sup>4</sup> people (condifferent types of phylearning or mental di People of particular sorientation/s People in particular a (consider in particular a	r race or efugees, rants and s) nsider ysical, sabilities) sexual	None	tive or negative?	Negative		
	Men or women People of a particular ethnicity (including reasylum seekers, migre gypsies and travellers. Disabled people (condifferent types of phelearning or mental dient people of particular sorientation/s People in particular a (consider in particular and under 21s and over 6)	r race or efugees, rants and s) nsider ysical, sabilities) sexual	None	tive or negative?	Negative		
	Men or women People of a particular ethnicity (including reasylum seekers, migre gypsies and travellers. Disabled people (condifferent types of phylearning or mental dient people of particular sorientation/s People in particular a (consider in particular and under 21s and over 61)	r race or efugees, rants and s) nsider ysical, sabilities) sexual age groups or children, (55s) nding to	None	tive or negative?	Negative		
	Men or women People of a particular ethnicity (including reasylum seekers, migr gypsies and travellers) Disabled <sup>4</sup> people (condifferent types of phylearning or mental dipeople of particular sorientation/s People in particular a (consider in particular and consider in particular and conside	r race or efugees, rants and s) nsider ysical, sabilities) sexual age groups or children, 65s) nding to oing or	None	tive or negative?	Negative		
	Men or women People of a particular ethnicity (including reasylum seekers, migre gypsies and travellers. Disabled people (condifferent types of phelearning or mental dielearning or mental dielearnin	r race or efugees, rants and s) nsider ysical, sabilities) sexual age groups or children, is5s) nding to ooing or occess or	None	tive or negative?	Negative		
	Men or women People of a particular ethnicity (including reasylum seekers, migre gypsies and travellers. Disabled people (condifferent types of phelearning or mental dient people of particular sorientation/s People in particular acconsider in particular acconsider in particular under 21s and over 60. People who are internundergo, are undergo have undergone a prepart of a process of see the protection of the process of see the protection of	r race or efugees, rants and s) nsider ysical, sabilities) sexual age groups or children, is5s) nding to ooing or occess or	None	tive or negative?	Negative		
	Men or women People of a particular ethnicity (including reasylum seekers, migre gypsies and travellers) Disabled people (condifferent types of phelearning or mental dielearning or mental dielearnin	r race or efugees, rants and s) nsider ysical, sabilities) sexual age groups or children, 65s) nding to oness or gender	None	tive or negative?	Negative		
	Men or women People of a particular ethnicity (including reasylum seekers, migre gypsies and travellers. Disabled people (condifferent types of phelearning or mental dient people of particular sorientation/s People in particular acconsider in particular acconsider in particular under 21s and over 60. People who are internundergo, are undergo have undergone a prepart of a process of see the protection of the process of see the protection of	r race or efugees, rants and s) nsider ysical, sabilities) sexual age groups or children, 65s) nding to oness or gender	None	tive or negative?	Negative		

 $<sup>^4</sup>$  Disability discrimination is different from other types of discrimination since it includes the duty to make reasonable adjustments.

# CMC – Libraries further service transformations (7.19)

	People of particular faiths and beliefs					
	People on low incomes					
	If any of the answers to the quest detailed impact assessment.	ions above is,	"negative" or "und	clear" you will nee	d to undertake a	
2.5	Based on your responses, should	d a full, detaile	ed EIA be carried o	out on the project,	policy or proposal	
	Yes No 🗌					
2.6	Provide brief reasons on how ha	ive you come t	o this decision?			
	A full EIA needs to be carried out Smart Open in in operation, so the				the age of 15 whi	ilst

# **SECTION 3: ASSESSING THE IMPACT**

In order to be able to identify ways to mitigate any potential impact it is essential that we know what those potential impacts might be. Using the evidence gathered in section 2, explain what the potential impact of your proposal might be on the groups you have identified. You may wish to further supplement the evidence you have gathered using the table below in order to properly consider the impact.

Protected Group		Positive impact?			Negative impact? If	No specific	If the impact is negative how can it be mitigated?	What , if any, are the cumulative effects of this decision when viewed in	
		Eliminate discrimination	Advance equality	Good relations	so, please specify the nature and extent of that impact	impact	Please specify any mitigation measures and how and when they will be implemented	the context of other Council decisions and their equality impacts	
Canadan	Men								
Gender	Women								
	White								
	Mixed/Multiple ethnic groups								
	Asian/Asian British								
Race	Black/African/Caribbean/ Black British								
	Gypsies / travellers								
	Other ethnic group								
	Physical								
Disability	Sensory				Yes		Ensure our library layout mitigate all physical disabilities.	Further reduction of access to face to face frontline services.	
	Learning Difficulties								

CMC – Libraries further service transformations (7.19)

Learning Disabilities		Yes	Information regarding staff assisted opening hours clearly displayed and advertised. Telephone assistance provided.	
Mental Health				

CMC – Libraries further service transformations (7.19)

		Positive impact?		spe	No specific	What will the impact be? If the impact is negative how	What are the cumulative of effects		
Protected Group		Eliminate discrimination	Advance equality	Good relations	Negative impact?	impact	can it be mitigated? (action)		
Sexual Orientation	Lesbian, gay men, bisexual								
	Older people (50+)				Yes		Smart Open: Older people who may be reluctant to use the self-access technology. Regular induction sessions provided during implementation stage and thereafter.	Social isolation and social exclusion.	
Age	Younger people (16 - 25)				Yes		Smart Open: Children under the age of 16 – safeguarding advice to be sought. Due to health and safety, and safeguarding unaccompanied children may not be able to gain access to the library in self-access mode. However, they would be able to visit the library if accompanied by a registered user aged over 16 during this time.	Social and learning deprivation.	
Gender Reassi	Gender Reassignment								
Impact due to	pregnancy/maternity								
Groups with p	articular faiths and beliefs								
People on low	incomes								

### **SECTION 4: ACTION PLAN**

4.1 Complete the action plan if you need to reduce or remove the negative impacts you have identified, take steps to foster good relations or fill data gaps.

Please include the action required by your team/unit, groups affected, the intended outcome of your action, resources needed, a lead person responsible for undertaking the action (inc. their department and contact details), the completion date for the action, and the relevant RAG rating: R(ed) – action not initiated, A(mber) – action initiated and in progress, G(reen) – action complete.

NB. Add any additional rows, if required.

Action Required	Equality Groups Targeted	Intended outcome	Resources Needed	Name of Lead, Unit & Contact Details	Completion Date (DD/MM/YY)	RAG
Smart Open: WCC safeguarding teams to advise on use of the library by unaccompanied children during Smart Opening hours.	Children under 16	Reduce any negative impact; provide an alternative solution; ensure health and safety.		Anabel Lopez, head of Service Delivery, 3rd Floor, 35 St Martin's Street, London WC2H 7HP, 020 7641 1033	31/07/18	
Enter additional rows if required						

THIS SECTION TO BE COMPLETED BY THE RELEVANT SERVICE MANAGER	
SIGNATURE:	
FULL NAME:	
UNIT:	
EMAIL & TELEPHONE EXT:	
DATE (DD/MM/YYYY):	

# WHAT NEXT?

It is the responsibility of the service to complete an EIA to the required standard and the quality and completeness of EIAs will be monitored by EMT.

All EIAs for proposed changes to levels of service arising from budget proposals must be completed by (insert date).

All completed EIAs should be sent to **Equalities@westminster.gov.uk**